

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA
NEW ALBANY DIVISION

In re:) Chapter 11
)
EASTERN LIVESTOCK CO., LLC,) Case No. 10-93904-BHL-11
)
Debtor.)

**TRUSTEE’S MOTION TO APPROVE COMPROMISE AND SETTLEMENT WITH
INTRUST BANK, N.A.**

James A. Knauer, as Chapter 11 Trustee (“Trustee”) for the estate (“Estate”) of Eastern Livestock Co., LLC (“Debtor”), by counsel, respectfully moves this Court for an Order approving a proposed settlement with Intrust Bank, N.A. (“Intrust”) pursuant to Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 9019. In support of this motion, the Trustee says:

BACKGROUND & JURISDICTION

1. Certain petitioning creditors commenced the above-captioned chapter 11 case (“Chapter 11 Case”) against Debtor on December 6, 2010 by filing an involuntary petition for relief under Chapter 11 of Title 11 of the United States Code. This Court entered an *Order For Relief in An Involuntary Case and Order to Complete Filing* [Dkt. No. 110] on December 28, 2010. On December 27, 2010, the Court entered an *Order Approving the Appointment of James A. Knauer as Chapter 11 Trustee* [Dkt. No. 102] pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 1104.

2. This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409. The statutory predicates for the relief sought herein are 11 U.S.C. § 105(a) and FED. R. BANKR. P. 9019.

3. The Trustee filed the *Trustee’s Chapter 11 Plan of Liquidation* on July 23, 2012 [Docket No. 1255] and the *First Amended Chapter 11 Plan of Liquidation* on October 26, 2012

[Docket No. 1490] (“Plan”). The Court entered an Order on December 17, 2012 [Docket No. 1644] (“Confirmation Order”) confirming the Plan.

SETTLEMENT

4. On December 22, 2012, the Trustee commenced adversary proceeding No. 12-59149 (the “Adversary”) against Intrust. On February 6, 2013 and September 17, 2013, the Trustee amended his complaint. By the Adversary, the Trustee sought to avoid and recover from Intrust under federal and state avoidance law almost \$2,000,000 in transfers made by Debtor to Thomas P. Gibson prior to the filing of the Chapter 11 Case. Intrust has denied all liability.

5. Intrust filed a proof of claim (the “Intrust ELC Claim”) in the Chapter 11 Case. The Intrust ELC Claim is designated on the official claims register maintained by The BMC Group, Inc. as claim no. 312 and asserts a secured claim totaling \$9,029,203.24. The Trustee filed an objection (the “Objection”) to the Intrust ELC Claim. Intrust also filed a proof of claim (the “Intrust Gibson Claim”) in the Chapter 7 case of Thomas P. Gibson and Patsy M. Gibson (the “Gibson Case”). The Intrust Gibson Claim is designated on the Court’s claims register for the Gibson Case as claim no. 14 and asserts an unsecured claim totaling \$8,952,274.44. Kathryn L. Pry, as Chapter 7 trustee in the Gibson Case, filed an objection to the Intrust Gibson Claim (the “Gibson Objection”).

6. The Trustee and Intrust desire to resolve and settle all issues, disputes, claims, and causes of action between them without resorting to further litigation. Without admission of any fault or liability and, as a result of good faith settlement negotiations, they have agreed to settle and resolve all such issues, disputes, claims and causes of action as summarized below and more fully set forth in the Settlement Agreement, a true and accurate copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

7. As more particularly described in the Settlement Agreement, Intrust has agreed to (i) assign, transfer and convey to the Trustee, without recourse, all of Intrust's rights in and to the first \$100,000 of distributions made on account of the Allowed Intrust Gibson Claim and (ii) waive and release the Intrust ELC Claim. Within five (5) business days following the Effective Date (as that term is defined in the Settlement Agreement), (i) the Parties shall file with the Court a stipulation dismissing the Adversary with prejudice and (ii) Intrust shall file with the Court a withdrawal of the Intrust ELC Claim. The Trustee shall thereafter withdraw the Objection. Intrust and the Trustee will also mutually release each other (and each other's officers, directors, employees, shareholders, attorneys, insurers, agents and affiliates, predecessors, successors, past, present and future parents, subsidiaries, assigns and heirs) from all claims or causes of action known or unknown, direct or indirect, related to the Chapter 11 Case.

8. As a result of the Settlement Agreement, claims against the Estate will be reduced by more than \$9,000,000 and the Trustee expects to recover \$100,000 in cash. The Settlement Agreement will also resolve contentious litigation.

9. The proposed Settlement will be effective upon the entry of a final, non-appealable order approving the Settlement.

10. In the Trustee's professional judgment the proposed compromise as set forth in Exhibit A is in the best interest of the Estate and its creditors.

11. In accordance with the terms of the Plan, all amounts received by the Trustee under this Settlement Agreement shall be designated and become part of the Recovery Fund (as those terms are defined in the Plan).

BRIEF IN SUPPORT

A. The Settlement Is Fair and in the Best Interests of the Debtors' Estates and Should Be Authorized Pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 9019(a).

12. Bankruptcy Rule 9019(a) authorizes a bankruptcy court, on motion by a trustee and after appropriate notice and a hearing, to approve a compromise or settlement so long as the proposed compromise or settlement is fair and equitable and in the best interest of the estate. See Protective Comm. for Indep. Stockholders of TMT Trailer Ferry, Inc. v. Anderson, 390 U.S. 414, 424 (1968); Depoister v. Mary M. Holloway Found., 36 F.3d 582, 586 (7th Cir. 1994) (“In conducting a hearing under Rule 9019(a), the bankruptcy court is to determine whether the proposed compromise is fair and equitable and in the best interests of the bankruptcy estate.”) (internal citations omitted); In re Andreuccetti, 975 F.2d 413, 421 (7th Cir. 1992) (Bankruptcy Rule 9019(a) authorizes the court to approve a settlement if “the settlement is in the best interests of the estate.”); In re Energy Co-op, Inc., 886 F.2d 921, 927 (7th Cir. 1989) (“[t]he benchmark for determining the propriety of a bankruptcy settlement is whether the settlement is in the best interests of the estate.”).

13. Compromises are tools for expediting the administration of the case and reducing administrative costs and are favored in bankruptcy. See Fogel v. Zell, 221 F.3d 955, 960 (7th Cir. 2000) (“Judges naturally prefer to settle complex litigation than to see it litigated to the hilt, especially when it is litigation in a bankruptcy proceeding — the expenses of administering the bankruptcy often consume most or even all of the bankrupt’s assets.”); In re Martin, 91 F.3d 389, 393 (3d Cir. 1996) (“To minimize litigation and expedite the administration of a bankruptcy estate, compromises are favored in bankruptcy”).

14. It is well-settled that a proposed settlement need not be the best result that the debtor could have achieved, but only must fall “within the reasonable range of litigation possibilities.” Energy Co-op, 886 F.2d at 929.

15. As further guidance, the Seventh Circuit has offered the following guidelines:

Central to the bankruptcy judge’s determination is a comparison of the settlement’s terms with the litigation’s probable costs and probable benefits. Among the factors the bankruptcy judge should consider in [the] analysis are the litigation’s probability of success, the litigation’s complexity, and the litigation’s attendant expense, inconvenience, and delay.

LaSalle Nat’l Bank v. Holland (In re Am. Reserve Corp.), 841 F.2d 159, 161 (7th Cir. 1987)

(citations omitted).

16. The Settlement Agreement, negotiated at arm’s length and in good faith, achieves a result that is in the best interest of the Debtor and its Estate. The settlement also accomplishes the withdrawal of the Intrust ELC Claim in this Chapter 11 Case at no further cost to the Estate or its creditors.

17. By the Adversary, the Trustee seeks to recover almost \$2,000,000 from Intrust pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 550. The Trustee’s claims involve complicated questions of tracing as well as potential defenses available to Intrust under 11 U.S.C. § 550(b)(1). The Trustee believes that the proposed settlement properly accounts for the costs of continued litigation and the likelihood of success on his claims, and falls squarely within the range of potential litigation outcomes.

18. For these reasons, the Settlement Agreement should be approved pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 9019.

NOTICE

19. The Trustee will provide notice of this Motion pursuant to FED. R. BANK. P. 2002(a)(3) or as otherwise directed by this Court. The Notice shall include a definitive time in

which any party will be required to file and serve an objection stating with specificity its objection.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, the Trustee respectfully request that this Court enter an order approving the Settlement Agreement, as it is in the best interests of the Estate and its creditors.

Respectfully submitted,

FAEGRE BAKER DANIELS LLP

By: /s/ Dustin R. DeNeal

Counsel for James A. Knauer, Chapter 11 Trustee

Terry E. Hall (#22041-49)
Kevin M. Toner (#11343-49)
Harmony Mappes(#27237-49)
300 N. Meridian Street, Suite 2700
Indianapolis, IN 46204-1782
Telephone: (317) 237-0300
Facsimile: (317) 237-1000
terry.hall@faegrebd.com
kevin.toner@faegrebd.com
harmony.mappes@faegrebd.com

Wendy W. Ponader (#14633-49)
Dustin R. DeNeal (#27535-49)
600 East 96th Street, Suite 600
Indianapolis, IN 46240
Telephone: (317) 569-9600
Facsimile: (317) 569-4800
wendy.ponader@faegrebd.com
dustin.deneal@faegrebd.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on May 14, 2015, a copy of the foregoing pleading was filed electronically. Notice of this filing will be sent to the following parties through the Court's Electronic Case Filing System. Parties may access this filing through the Court's system.

David L. Abt
davidabt@mwt.net

Kay Dee Baird
kbaird@klegal.com

C. R. Bowles, Jr
cbowles@bgdlegal.com

Kent A Britt
kabritt@vorys.com

Lisa Koch Bryant
courtmail@fbhlaw.net

Deborah Caruso
dcaruso@daleeke.com

Joshua Elliott Clubb
joshclubb@gmail.com

Jack S. Dawson
jdawson@millerdollarhide.com

David Alan Domina
dad@dominalaw.com

Shawna M. Eikenberry
shawna.eikenberry@faegrebd.com

Robert Hughes Foree
robertforee@bellsouth.net

Thomas P. Glass
tpglass@strausstroy.com

Paul M. Hoffman
paul.hoffmann@stinsonleonard.com

Jeffrey L Hunter
jeff.hunter@usdoj.gov

Todd J. Johnston
tjohnston@mcjllp.com

Edward M King
tking@fbtlaw.com

Theodore A. Konstantinopoulos
ndohbky@jbandr.com

David L. LeBas
dlebas@nahanhowell.com

Elliott D. Levin
edl@rubin-levin.net

Karen L. Lobring
lobring@msn.com

Amelia Martin Adams
aadam@dlgfir.com

Christopher E. Baker
cbaker@thbkllaw.com

David W. Brangers
dbrangers@lawyer.com

Kayla D. Britton
kayla.britton@faegrebd.com

John R. Burns, III
john.burns@faegrebd.com

Ben T. Caughey
ben.caughey@icemiller.com

Jason W. Cottrell
jwc@stuartlaw.com

Dustin R. DeNeal
dustin.deneal@faegrebd.com

Daniel J. Donnellon
ddonnellon@ficlaw.com

Jeffrey R. Erler
jerler@ghjhlaw.com

Sandra D. Freeburger
sfreeburger@dsf-atty.com

Patrick B. Griffin
patrick.griffin@kutakrock.com

John David Hoover
jdhoover@hooverhull.com

Jay Jaffe
jay.jaffe@faegrebd.com

Jill Zengler Julian
Jill.Julian@usdoj.gov

James A. Knauer
jak@kgllaw.com

Randall D. LaTour
rdlatour@vorys.com

Martha R. Lehman
mlehman@klegal.com

Kim Martin Lewis
kim.lewis@dinslaw.com

Jason A. Lopp
jlopp@wyattfirm.com

John W. Ames
james@bgdlegal.com

Robert A. Bell
rabell@vorys.com

Steven A. Brehm
sbrehm@bgdlegal.com

Joe Lee Brown
Joe.Brown@Hardincounty.biz

John R. Carr, III
jrciii@acs-law.com

Bret S. Clement
bclement@acs-law.com

Kirk Crutcher
kcrutcher@mcs-law.com

Laura Day Delcotto
ldelcotto@dlgfir.com

Trevor L. Earl
tearl@rwsvlaw.com

William K. Flynn
wkflynn@strausstroy.com

Melissa S. Giberson
msgiberson@vorys.com

Terry E. Hall
terry.hall@faegrebd.com

John Huffaker
john.huffaker@sprouselaw.com

James Bryan Johnston
bjtexas59@hotmail.com

Jay P. Kennedy
jpk@kgllaw.com

Erick P. Knoblock
eknoblock@daleeke.com

David A. Laird
david.laird@moyewwhite.com

Scott R. Leisz
sleisz@bgdlegal.com

James B. Lind
jblind@vorys.com

John Hunt Lovell
john@lovel-law.net

Harmony A. Mappes
harmony.mappes@faegrebd.com

Kelly Greene McConnell
lisahughes@givenspursley.com

William Robert Meyer, II
rmeyer@stites.com

Allen Morris
amorris@stites.com

Matthew Daniel Neumann
mneumann@hhclaw.com

Matthew J. Ochs
kim.maynes@moyewhite.com

Ross A. Plourde
ross.plourde@mcafeetaft.com

Timothy T. Pridmore
tpridmore@mcjllp.com

Eric W. Richardson
ewrichardson@vorys.com

Mark A. Robinson
mrobinson@vhrlaw.com

Joseph H. Rogers
jrogers@millerdollarhide.com

Niccole R. Sadowski
nsadowski@thbklaw.com

Ivana B. Shallcross
ishallcross@bgdlegal.com

James E. Smith, Jr.
jsmith@smithakins.com

Joshua N. Stine
kabritt@vorys.com

Meredith R. Theisen
mtheisen@daleeke.com

Christopher M. Trapp
ctrapp@rubin-levin.net

Andrew James Vandiver
avandiver@aswdlaw.com

Charles R. Wharton
Charles.R.Wharton@usdoj.gov

Jason P. Wischmeyer
jason@wischmeyerlaw.com

John Frederick Massouh
john.massouh@sprouselaw.com

James Edwin McGhee
mcghee@derbycitylaw.com

Kevin J. Mitchell
kevin.mitchell@faegrebd.com

Judy Hamilton Morse
judy.morse@crowedunlevy.com

Walter Scott Newbern
wsnewbern@msn.com

Jessica Lynn Olsheski
jessica.olsheski@justice-law.net

Brian Robert Pollock
bpollock@stites.com

Anthony G. Raluy
traluy@fbhlaw.net

Joe T. Roberts
jratty@windstream.net

Jeremy S. Rogers
Jeremy.Rogers@dinslaw.com

James E. Rossow
jim@rubin-levin.net

Thomas C. Scherer
tscherer@bgdlegal.com

Sarah Elizabeth Sharp
sarah.sharp@faegrebd.com

William E. Smith, III
wsmith@k-glaw.com

Andrew D. Stosberg
astosberg@lloydmc.com

John M. Thompson
john.thompson@crowedunlevy.com

Chrisandrea L. Turner
clturner@stites.com

Andrea L. Wasson
andrea@wassonthornhill.com

Sean T. White
swhite@hooverhull.com

James T. Young
james@rubin-levin.net

Michael W. McClain
mmclain@mcclaindeweese.com

Brian H. Meldrum
bmeldrum@stites.com

Terrill K. Moffett
kendalcantrell@moffettlaw.com

Erin Casey Nave
enave@taftlaw.com

Shiv Ghuman O'Neill
shiv.oneill@faegrebd.com

Michael Wayne Oyler
moyler@rwsvlaw.com

Wendy W. Ponader
wendy.ponader@faegrebd.com

Eric C. Redman
ksmith@redmanludwig.com

David Cooper Robertson
crobertson@stites.com

John M. Rogers
johnr@rubin-levin.net

Steven Eric Runyan
ser@kgirlaw.com

Stephen E. Schilling
seschilling@strausstroy.com

Suzanne M Shehan
suzanne.shehan@kutakrock.com

Amanda Dalton Stafford
ads@kgirlaw.com

Matthew R. Strzynski
indyattorney@hotmail.com

Kevin M. Toner
kevin.toner@faegrebd.com

U.S. Trustee
ustpreion10.in.ecf@usdoj.gov

Jennifer Watt
jwatt@kgirlaw.com

Michael Benton Willey
michael.willey@ag.tn.gov

John D Dale, Jr.
Johndaleatty@msn.com

I further certify that on May 14, 2015, a copy of the foregoing pleading was served via electronic mail transmission on the following:

Thomas Richard Alexander, II
tra@rgba-law.com

/s/ Dustin R. DeNeal